

ISH 3_22 March PT5

Created on: 2023-03-22 16:58:56

Project Length: 00:06:36

File Name: ISH 3_22 March PT5

File Length: 00:06:36

FULL TRANSCRIPT (with timecode)

00:00:05:06 - 00:00:06:28

Good afternoon. Looking to resume now,

00:00:09:11 - 00:00:30:13

as Mr. High has already stated, though, I shall read out the my record of the action points. These shall be embedded in the next round of written questions with responses for deadline three. We have 24 action points recorded from today's meeting and I shall just go through my list. Now.

00:00:33:12 - 00:00:51:05

The first one is to provide information. This is for the applicant. Actually, these are mostly for the applicant unless otherwise stated to provide information as to whether there are any other projects which have had a similar approach to crossings relating to the use of separate ducts.

00:00:52:20 - 00:00:59:16

Applicant to address any inconsistencies with the evidence to show that only is to be used for crossings.

00:01:01:12 - 00:01:27:23

Next one is the applicant to consider and respond to whether there is an outline management plan for agricultural matters and whether this should be linked to the outline code for construction practice. The next one is to provide a clear indication as to whether those works excluded from the definition of commencement of development are covered by any other forms of control.

00:01:30:26 - 00:01:41:29

The next is for the applicant to review to include a definition for pre commencement and review the wording of requirement 19 think it was subsection four, particularly

00:01:43:21 - 00:01:51:23

for the applicant to consider or review whether to include national highways in requirement 19.

00:01:56:02 - 00:02:01:13

To consider whether Norfolk County Council should be reinstated into requirement 19

00:02:02:29 - 00:02:19:10

to provide examples of offshore wind farms where significant noise effects have been identified, suggesting the need to be dealt with in a construction noise management plan and then subsequently for no noise management plan to have been submitted as part of the examination.

00:02:21:03 - 00:02:35:19

A review to ensure how the draft includes nighttime and that this is consistent with the working hours set out. Also to clarify what constitutes emergency in the context of nighttime works.

00:02:37:27 - 00:02:48:13

Whether the worst case scenario with the use of under the solar farm at Alton would result in the need for nighttime works and drilling.

00:02:51:05 - 00:03:01:06

To consider a mechanism within the construction traffic management plan to allow for Norfolk County Council to ensure a change is suitable and acceptable if necessary.

00:03:02:23 - 00:03:09:01

To provide the press statement that's been referred to today relating to Hornsea three for the examining authority.

00:03:11:23 - 00:03:22:20

The next one is to provide for the roads to be listed by name in the outline construction traffic management plan to ensure consistency and ease of reference.

00:03:25:09 - 00:04:13:29

Relating to air quality. If the air quality impact is considered to be negligible for this particular project, whether it should be considered for cumulative assessment applicant could make reference to in the response to the EIA regs and pins advice notes in their response. There's just a note here about the borehole monitoring. Um, we for that to be expected to be completed and submitted in June. So that's for a later action response, um, for the applicant to provide details on why the course of the route cable route through the area of flood risk west of little burning burningham could not be avoided or if an alternative route through the area could not be found.

00:04:15:18 - 00:04:26:19

The provision of draft of a provision of draft protective provisions with the. To be used which we believe currently being negotiated.

00:04:28:23 - 00:04:37:27

Updates at next deadline regarding the code of construction practice with greater clarification related to the landfill compound and necessary control measures.

00:04:40:12 - 00:04:50:09

The consideration of lifting the mitigations set out in the flood risk assessment into the outline code of construction practice

00:04:52:04 - 00:05:21:01

and whether the applicant to well for the applicant provide details of cumulative drainage effects. Infiltration with Hornsea three at the onshore substation and whether the infiltration solution is viable if Hornsea three and associated battery are seeking to do the same. Have these been factored into the hydraulic modelling? And also, does this have any impact to the change request discussed today?

00:05:22:16 - 00:05:44:25

And finally, the applicant to provide hydraulic modelling updates within negotiation with the alpha at hopefully deadline three. That's all the action points that I've got today. As I said, these will go through to the written questions for the next round. Thank you. I'll now return to Mr. Huyck.

00:05:49:16 - 00:05:53:18

Any questions or clarifications on those post hearing actions.

00:06:00:04 - 00:06:15:25

Okay. I don't see any hands up. Um, so I will now proceed to close the third issue specific hearing. Thank you very much for participating today. Just one final time before I close the hearing. If there are any questions, please raise your hand.

00:06:18:26 - 00:06:30:24

I don't see any hands up. The time is now. Ten minutes to five, 650 4:50 p.m.. And will close this issue specific hearing.

00:06:32:11 - 00:06:33:00

Thank you.